arXiv:math/9712294v1 [math.RT] 30 Dec 1997

Generalized Witt Algebras and Generalized Poisson Algebras

Ki-Bong Nam *

February 1, 2008

Abstract

It is well known that the Poisson Lie algebra is isomorphic to the Hamiltonian Lie algebra [1],[3],[13]. We show that the Poisson Lie algebra can be embedded properly in the special type Lie algebra [13]. We also generalize the Hamitonian Lie algebra using exponential functions, and we show that these Lie algebras are simple.

1 Introduction

Let F be a field of characteristic zero . Throughout this paper N will denote the non-negative integers. Let $F[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in indeterminates $x_1, \cdots, x_n$.

Recall that the generalized Witt algebra $W(n)$, as a Lie subalgebra of the algebra of operators on $F[x_1^{\pm}, \cdots, x_n^{\pm}]$, has a basis

$$\{x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \partial_j | i_1, \cdots i_n \in N, j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}\},$$

where ∂_j is the usual derivation with respect to x_j. Please refer to Kac's and Rudakov's papers for more details on $W(n)$ [7],[11],[12].

Let $F[x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n]$ be the polynomial ring in indeterminates $x_1, \cdots, x_n$, $y_1, \cdots, y_n$. Recall that the Poisson algebra $H(n)$ is a subalgebra of the algebra of operators on $F[x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n]$ and has a basis

$$B := \{x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} y_1^{j_1} \cdots y_n^{j_n} | i_1, \cdots, j_n \in N, \text{ not all } i_1, \cdots, j_n \text{ are } 0\}$$

with Poisson bracket defined for any $f, g \in F[x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n]$ by

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_{i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}} (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}).$$

---

*Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706

This makes it a Lie algebra.

Thus we have the series of the Poisson Lie algebras

$$H(1) \subset H(2) \subset \cdots \subset H(n) \subset \cdots.$$

It is very easy to check that $\{x_i y_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is the set of all ad-diagonal elements of $H(n)$ with respect to the above basis B. It is also well known that $\{x_i \partial_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is the set of all ad-diagonal elements of $W(n)$, with respect to the above basis.

We also consider the following Lie algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} D = \{ & x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_{k-1}^{i_{k-1}} x_{k+1}^{i_{k+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \partial_t | i_1, \cdots, i_n \in N, \\ & t \in \{1, \cdots, k-1, k+1, \cdots, n\}, 1 \leq k \leq n\} \end{aligned}$$

and $[d_1, d_2]$ for $d_1, d_2 \in D$, defined as follows for $1 \leq k \leq s \leq n$:

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_{k-1}^{i_{k-1}} x_{k+1}^{i_{k+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \partial_k, x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_{s-1}^{j_{s-1}} x_{s+1}^{j_{s+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \partial_s] \qquad (1) \\ = \; & j_k x_1^{i_1+j_1} \cdots x_k^{j_{k-1}} x_{k+1}^{i_{k+1}+j_{k+1}} \cdots x_s^{i_s} x_{s+1}^{i_{s+1}+j_{s+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \partial_s \\ - \; & i_s x_1^{i_1+j_1} \cdots x_k^{j_k} x_{k+1}^{i_{k+1}+j_{k+1}} \cdots x_s^{i_s-1} x_{s+1}^{i_{s+1}+j_{s+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \partial_k. \end{aligned}$$

Then the above Lie algebra is isomorphic to the special type Lie algebra S_n [13]. The Hamiltonian Lie algebra H_{2n} is realized as the subalgebra generated by the elements

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{m+i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+i}} \qquad (2)$$

in $W(2n)$, for $u \in F[x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n]$.
From (2) we have

$$-\sum_{i=1} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_{m+i}} + \sum_{i=1} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_{m+i}} = 0.$$

Then, we observe that the Lie algebra H_{2n} is a proper subalgebra of S_{2n}.
Consider the commutative associative algebra over F [1],[6]:

$$F_{n,n} := F[e^{\pm x_1}, x_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, e^{\pm x_n}, x_n^{\pm 1}, e^{\pm y_1}, y_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, e^{\pm y_n}, y_n^{\pm 1}]$$

with basis

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n,n} := \{ & e^{a_1 x_1} \cdots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} e^{b_1 y_1} \cdots e^{b_n y_n} y_1^{j_1} \cdots y_n^{j_n} | \qquad (3) \\ & a_1, \cdots, a_n, b_1, \cdots, b_n \in Z, i_1, \cdots, i_n, j_1, \cdots, j_n \in Z\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the generalized Poisson algebra $H(n,n)$ with Poisson bracket for $f, g \in F_{n,n}$ given by

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i}).$$

The Lie algebra $H(n,n)$ generalizes $H(n)$.
From

$$\begin{aligned} & \{e^{ax}e^{by}y, e^{-ax}e^{-by}\} \\ = & \frac{\partial(e^{ax}e^{by}y)}{\partial x}\frac{\partial(e^{-ax}e^{-by})}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial(e^{ax}e^{by}y)}{\partial y}\frac{\partial(e^{-ax}e^{-by})}{\partial x} \\ = & -2aby - a, \end{aligned}$$

we know that the Lie algebra $H(n,n)$ has a one dimensional center F. Thus, we consider the quotient Lie algebra $\overline{H(n,n)} = H(n,n)/F$.
Note that the algebra generated by basis elements whose exponential parts are all zero is just a Poisson Lie algebra $\overline{H(n)} := \overline{H(0,n)}$ [1],[6],[13].

Consider the commutative associative algebra over F [6]

$$F_{n,i^*} := F[e^{\pm x_1^{i_{11}}}, \cdots, e^{\pm x_1^{i_{1m}}}, x_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, e^{\pm x_n^{i_{n1}}}, \cdots, e^{\pm x_n^{i_{nr}}}, x_n^{\pm 1}]$$

with basis like $F_{n,n}$, where $i_{11} < \cdots < i_{1m}, \cdots, i_{n1} < \cdots < i_{nr}$ are non-negative integers. Consider the Lie algebra $W(n,i^*)$ with basis

$$\{e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} x_1^{j_1} \cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_n^{j_n} \partial_t |$$

$$a_{11}, \cdots, a_{1m}, \cdots, a_{n1}, \cdots, a_{nr} \in Z, j_1, \cdots, j_n \in N, t \in \{1, \cdots, n\}\},$$

and the Lie bracket

$$[f\partial_p, g\partial_q] = f\partial_p(g)\partial_q - g\partial_q(f)\partial_p,$$

for any $f, g \in F_{n,i^*}$ [1],[13].

Let us put $m + \cdots + r = M$. We denote $Z^m = Z$ x Z x $\cdots$ x Z, m copies of Z for any $m \in N$. The Lie algebra $W(n,i^*)$ has a Z^M-gradation as follows:

$$W(n,i^*) = \bigoplus_{(a_{11},\cdots,a_{1m},\cdots,a_{n1},\cdots,a_{nr}) \in Z^M} W_{(a_{11},\cdots,a_{1m},\cdots,a_{n1},\cdots,a_{nr})}$$

where $W_{(a_{11},\cdots,a_{1m},\cdots,a_{n1},\cdots,a_{nr})}$ is the subspace spanned by

$$\{e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t |$$

$$j_1, \cdots, j_n \in N, 1 \leq t \leq n\}.$$

Let us call $W_{(a_{11},\cdots,a_{1m},\cdots,a_{n1},\cdots,a_{nr})}$ the $(a_{11}, \cdots, a_{1m}, \cdots, a_{n1}, \cdots, a_{nr})$-homogeneous component of $W(n,i^*)$ and elements in $W_{(a_{11},\cdots,a_{1m},\cdots,a_{n1},\cdots,a_{nr})}$ the $(a_{11}, \cdots, a_{1m}, \cdots, a_{n1}, \cdots, a_{nr})$-homogeneous elements.

Definition 1 *Let us define a lexicographic order $>_o$ on the Z^M-gradation of $W(n,i^*)$ as follows: given two elements*

$$l_1 = e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t \in W_{(a_{11},\cdots,a_{1m},\cdots,a_{n1},\cdots,a_{nr})}$$

and

$$l_2 = e^{b_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \cdots e^{b_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \cdots e^{b_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \cdots e^{b_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n} \partial_q \in W_{(b_{11},\cdots,b_{1m},\cdots,b_{n1},\cdots,b_{nr})},$$

$$\begin{aligned} & l_1 >_o l_2 \text{ if and only if} \\ & (a_{11}, \cdots, a_{1m}, \cdots, a_{n1}, \cdots, a_{nr}, j_1, \cdots, j_n, t) >_o \\ & (b_{11}, \cdots, b_{1m}, \cdots, b_{n1}, \cdots, b_{nr}, p_1, \cdots, p_n, q) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

by the natural lexicographic ordering in Z^M.
Thus, any element $l \in W(n, i^*)$ can be written using the Z^M-gradation and the order (4).

Note that $W_{(0,\cdots,0)}$ is a sub-algebra of $W(n, i^*)$ and has basis

$$\{x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t | j_1, \cdots, j_n \in N, t \in \{1, \cdots, n\}\},$$

which is isomorphic to $W(n)$.

For any $l \in W(n, i^*)$, l can be the sum of different $(a_{11}, \cdots, a_{1m}, \cdots, a_{nr}, \cdots, a_{nr})$-homogeneous elements, $\cdots$, $(a_{p1}, \cdots, a_{pm}, \cdots, a_{pr}, \cdots, a_{nr})$-homogeneous elements. Let us define the homogeneous number $w_h(l)$ of l as the total number of different homogeneous components of l. Let us define the total number of $(a_{11}, \cdots, a_{1m}, \cdots, a_{nr}, \cdots, a_{nr})$-homogeneous elements of l as $T_{(a_{11},\cdots,a_{1m},\cdots,a_{nr},\cdots,a_{nr})}$.
We define $hp(l)$ of $l \in W(n, i^*)$ as the highest power among all powers which appear in l.

The main results of this paper are the following.

Theorem 1 The Lie algebra $W(n, i^*)$ is simple. $\square$

Theorem 2 The Lie algebra $\overline{H(n, n)}$ is simple. $\square$

2 Generalized Witt algebras

Lemma 1 *If $l \in W(n, i^*)$ is a non-zero element then the ideal $< l >$ generated by l contains an element l_1 whose powers of polynomial parts are positive integers.*

Proof. We can assume $l \in W(n, i^*)$ has a non-zero $(0, \cdots, 0, a_{i,j}, a_{i,j+1}, \cdots, a_{nr})-$ homogeneous element. Then, take $x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_i \in W(n, i^*)$. If $i_1, \cdots, i_n$ are sufficiently large non-negative integers, then

$$l_1 = [l, x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_i] \neq 0$$

is the required element. $\square$

Lemma 2 *The ideal I of $W(n, i^*)$ which contains an operator ∂_i for any $1 \le i \le n$ is $W(n, i^*)$.*

Proof. Since $W_{(0,\cdots,0)} \cong W(n)$ is simple, $W_{(0,\cdots,0)} \subset I$. For any basis element

$$e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t$$

of $W(n, i^*)$ with $j_t = 0$ and some $j_{ts} \neq 0$ where $1 \le t \le n$, we have

$$[\partial_t, x_t e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t] \in I \tag{5}$$

and

$$[x_t\partial_t, e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t] \in I. \tag{6}$$

Then

$$(6) - (5) = 2e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t \in I.$$

For any basis element

$$e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t$$

with $j_t = 0$ and some $j_{t1}, \cdots, j_{tg} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\partial_t, x_t e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t] \\ = \; & e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t \in I. \end{aligned}$$

For any basis element

$$e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t$$

with $j_t \neq 0$, we have

$$[\partial_t, e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t] \in I, \tag{7}$$

and

$$[x_t^{j_t}\partial_t, x_t^{-j_t} e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t] \in I. \tag{8}$$

Thus

$$(7) - (8) = 2j_t e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t \in I.$$

Therefore, we have proven the lemma. $\square$

Theorem 1 *The Lie algebra $W(n, i^*)$ is a simple Lie algebra.*

Proof. Let I be non-zero ideal of $W(n,i^*)$. Then, by Lemma 1 there is a non-zero element l whose polynomial parts are positive. We prove $I = W(n,i^*)$ by induction on $w_h(l)$.

Step 1. If $w_h(l) = 1$, then $l = W(n,i^*)$.

Proof of Step 1. If $w_h(l) = 1$, then l has the form

$$\begin{aligned} & C(j_{11},\cdots,j_{1n})e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}}\cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}}\cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}}\cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}}x_1^{j_{11}}\cdots x_n^{j_{1n}}\partial_g \\ + & \cdots \\ + & C(j_{p1},\cdots,j_{pn})e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}}\cdots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}}\cdots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}}\cdots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}}x_1^{j_{p1}}\cdots x_n^{j_{pn}}\partial_h \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $1 \leq q, h \leq n$ and $C(j_{11},\cdots,j_{1n}),\cdots,C(j_{p1},\cdots,j_{pn}) \in F$.

If $a_{11} = \cdots = a_{nr} = 0$, then the theorem holds by Lemma 2 since $W(n)$ is simple [7].

Assume l is $(0,\cdots,0,a_{uv},a_{u,v+1},\cdots,a_{nr})$-homogeneous. Then

$$[e^{-a_{uv}x_u^{i_{uv}}}\cdots e^{-a_{uw}x_u^{i_{uw}}}\cdots e^{-a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}}\cdots e^{-a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}}\partial_u, l] \neq 0, \tag{10}$$

because of the term

$$i_{u1}a_{u1}x_1^{j_1}\cdots x_u^{j_u+j_{uv}-1}x_{u+1}^{j_{u+1}}\cdots x_n^{j_n}\partial_t$$

of (10).

We have $I \cap W(n) \neq 0$. Therefore, we have $I = W(n,i^*)$ by Lemma 2.

Step 2. If the theorem holds for $w_h(l) = n-1$ for any $l \in I$, then the theorem holds for $w_h(l) = n$.

Proof of Step 3. If l has $(0,\cdots,0)-$homogeneous elements and maximal $(0,\cdots,0,a_{us},\cdots,a_{nr})$-homogeneous elements such that $a_{us} \neq 0$, then

$$l_1 = [\partial_u,[\partial_u,\cdots,[\partial_u,l]]\cdots] \neq 0$$

Applying the Lie bracket $(hp(l_2)+1)$ times gives us $w_h(l_1) = n-1$. Therefore, we proven the theorem by induction.

If l has no $(0,\cdots,0)-$homogeneous elements and maximal $(0,\cdots,0,a_{us},\cdots,)$ -homogeneous elements such that $a_{us} \neq 0$, then

$$l_1 = [e^{-a_{us}x_u^{i_{us}}}\cdots e^{-a_{uw}x_u^{i_{uw}}}\cdots e^{-a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}}\cdots e^{-a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}}\partial_u, l] \neq 0, \tag{11}$$

and l_1 has $w_h(l_1) = n$ with $(0,\cdots,0)-$homogeneous elements. Thus, we proved this case above. Therefore, the Lie algebra $W(n,i^*)$ is simple. □

Consider the sub-algebra $W(1,i_{11},\cdots,i_{1n})$ of $W(n,i^*)$ with basis

$$\{e^{a_1x^{i_{11}}}\cdots e^{a_nx^{i_{1n}}}x^j\partial | a_1,\cdots,a_n \in Z, j \in N\}.$$

Corollary 1 *The Lie algebra* $W(1,i_{11},\cdots,i_{1n})$ *is a simple Lie algebra [11].*

Proof. This is clear from the previous theorem. □

Lemma 3 *Let L be any Lie algebra over field F of characteristiv zero. If θ is any Lie algebra automorphism of L and $\{l_i | i \in I\}$ is the basis of L, then $\{\theta(l_i) | i \in I\}$ is also a basis of L where I is an index set.*

Proof. This is trivial. □

Let $W^+(1)$ be the Witt algebra over a field F of characteristic zero with basis $B = \{x^i\partial | i \in N\}$ and with Lie bracket

$$[x^i\partial, x^j\partial] = (j-i)x^{i+j-1}\partial$$

for any $x^i\partial, x^j\partial \in B$. Note that $x\partial$ is the ad-diagonal element of $W^+(1)$, with respect to B. Note that

$$[x\partial, x^i\partial] = (i-1)x^i\partial$$

for any $x^i\partial \in B$.

Proposition 1 *If θ is any automorphism of $W^+(1)$, then $\theta(x\partial) = \alpha x\partial + \beta\partial$ with $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in F$ [8].*

Proof. Let θ be any automorphism of $W^+(1)$. Then

$$\theta(x\partial) = \alpha_{0,1}\partial + \cdots + \alpha_{n,1}x^n\partial$$

with $\alpha_{n,1} \neq 0$, and $\alpha_{0,1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n,1} \in F$. Let us assume that

$$\theta(\partial) = \alpha_{0,0}\partial + \cdots + \alpha_{m,0}x^m\partial$$

with $\alpha_{m,0} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{0,0}, \cdots, \alpha_{m,0} \in F$. Thus, we have

$$\theta([\partial, x\partial]) = \alpha_{0,0}\partial + \cdots + \alpha_{m,0}x^m\partial. \tag{12}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} [\theta(\partial), \theta(x\partial)] &= [\alpha_{0,0}\partial + \cdots + \alpha_{m,0}x^m\partial, \alpha_{0,1}\partial + \cdots + \alpha_{n,1}x^n\partial] \qquad (13) \\ &= * + \alpha_{n,1}\alpha_{m,0}(n-m)x^n\partial = \alpha_{0,0}\partial + \cdots + \alpha_{m,0}x^m\partial, \end{aligned}$$

where $*$ represents the remaining terms. From (12) and (13), we have $n = m$ or $n = 1$. First let us assume $n = m$. For $x^p\partial \in B$,

$$\theta(x^p\partial) = \alpha_{0,p}\partial + \cdots + \alpha_{t,p}x^t\partial$$

with $\alpha_{t,p} \neq 0$, and $p \neq 1$, $\alpha_{0,p}, \cdots, \alpha_{t,p} \in F$.

On the one hand,

$$([x\partial, x^p\partial]) = (p-1)\theta(x^p\partial) = (p-1)\alpha_{0,p}\partial + \cdots + (p-1)\alpha_{t,p}x^t\partial.$$

While

$$\begin{aligned} [\theta(x\partial), \theta(x^p\partial)] &= [\alpha_{0,1}\partial + \cdots + \alpha_{n,1}x^n\partial, \alpha_{0,p}\partial + \cdots + \alpha_{t,p}x^t\partial] \\ &= \alpha_{n,1}\alpha_{t,p}(t-n)x^{t+n-1}\partial + ** = (p-1)\alpha_{0,p}\partial + \cdots + (p-1)\alpha_{t,p}x^t\partial, \end{aligned}$$

where $**$ represents the remaining terms. Then $t = n$ or $n = 1$. Since $t \neq 1$, we have $n = 1$. Therefore, we have proved the proposition. □

Note that for any automorphism θ of $W^+(1)$ we can determine $\theta(x^j\partial)$ for any $x^j\partial \in B$ inductively on i.

3 Generalized Poisson Algebras

In this section we prove the simplicity of $\overline{H(n,n)}$.
The Lie algebra $H(n,n)$ has $Z^{2n}-$gradation as follows:

$$H(n,n) = \bigoplus_{(a_1,\cdots,a_n,b_1,\cdots,b_n)\in Z^{2n}} W_{(a_1,\cdots,a_n,b_1,\cdots,b_n)}$$

where

$$W_{(a_1,\cdots,a_n,b_1,\cdots,b_n)} = \{f e^{a_1x_1}\cdots e^{a_nx_n}x_1^{i_1}\cdots x_n^{i_n}e^{b_1y_1}\cdots e^{b_ny_n}y_1^{j_1}\cdots y_n^{j_n}$$
$$|i_1,\cdots,i_n,j_1,\cdots,j_n \in Z, f\in F\}.$$

An element of $W_{(a_1,\cdots,a_n,b_1,\cdots,b_n)}$ is called a $(a_1,\cdots,a_n,b_1,\cdots,b_n)$ -homogeneous element.

Definition 2 *We define a lexicographic order $>_h$ on basis (3) as follows: given two basis elements,*

$$l = e^{a_{11}x_1}\cdots e^{a_{1n}x_n}x_1^{i_{11}}\cdots x_n^{i_{1n}}e^{b_{11}y_1}\cdots e^{b_{1n}y_n}y_1^{j_{11}}\cdots y_n^{j_{1n}},$$

$$m = e^{a_{21}x_1}\cdots e^{a_{2n}x_n}x_1^{i_{21}}\cdots x_n^{i_{2n}}e^{b_{21}y_1}\cdots e^{b_{2n}y_n}y_1^{j_{21}}\cdots y_n^{j_{2n}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} & l >_h m \ if \\ & (a_{11},\cdots,a_{1n},b_{11},\cdots,b_{1n},i_{11},\cdots,j_{11},\cdots,j_{1n}) >_h \\ & (a_{21},\cdots,a_{2n},b_{21},\cdots,b_{2n},i_{21},\cdots,j_{21},\cdots,j_{2n}) \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

by the natural lexicographic ordering in Z^{2n}.

Using the $Z^{2n}-$gradation and the order $>_h$, an element $l\in H(n,n)$ can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & l = \\ & C(i_{11},\cdots,i_{1n},j_{11},\cdots,j_{1n})e^{a_{11}x_1}\cdots e^{a_{1n}x_n}x_1^{i_{11}}\cdots x_n^{i_{1n}}e^{b_{11}y_1}\cdots e^{b_{1n}y_n}y_1^{j_{11}}\cdots y_n^{j_{1n}} \\ + & \cdots \\ + & C(i_{s1},\cdots,i_{sn},j_{s1},\cdots,j_{sn})e^{a_{s1}x_1}\cdots e^{a_{sn}x_n}x_1^{i_{s1}}\cdots x_n^{i_{sn}}e^{b_{s1}y_1}\cdots e^{b_{sn}y_n}y_1^{j_{s1}}\cdots y_n^{j_{sn}} \\ + & \cdots \\ + & C(m_{11},\cdots,m_{1n},p_{11},\cdots,p_{1n})e^{d_{11}x_1}\cdots e^{a_{1n}x_n}x_1^{m_{11}}\cdots x_n^{m_{1n}}e^{f_{11}y_1}\cdots e^{f_{1n}y_n}y_1^{p_{11}}\cdots y_n^{p_{1n}} \\ + & \cdots \\ + & C(m_{t1},\cdots,m_{tn},p_{t1},\cdots,p_{tn})e^{d_{t1}x_1}\cdots e^{d_{tn}x_n}x_1^{m_{t1}}\cdots x_n^{m_{tn}}e^{f_{t1}y_1}\cdots e^{f_{tn}y_n}y_1^{p_{t1}}\cdots y_n^{p_{tn}} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

with appropriate scalars in F and the lexicographic order.
Recall that in [11], $lp(l)$ is defined as the highest power of all polynomials of l. For $l = e^{x_1}x_2^7 + x_1x_3^{-1}x_7^9$, we have $lp(l) = 9$.

For any $l \in H(n,n)$, let us define $h_h(l)$ as the cardinality of

$$\{(a_{11}, \cdots, a_{1n}, b_{11}, \cdots, b_{1n}), \cdots, (a_{s1}, \cdots, a_{sn}, b_{s1}, \cdots, b_{sn})\}$$

which is s. For $l = e^{3x_1}e^{4x_2}x_1^5x_2^7 + 5e^{3x_1}e^{4x_2}x_1^6x_2^{-7} + 9e^{4x_1}x_2^7$, we have $h_h(l) = 2$.

Lemma 4 *If $l \in \overline{H(n,n)}$ is a non-zero element, then the ideal $< l >$ generated by l contains an element l_1 whose powers of polynomial parts are positive integers.*

Proof. Since $\overline{H(n)}$ is a $Z^{2n}-$graded Lie algebra, it is enough to show the lemma holds for a basis element

$$l := e^{a_{1n}x_n}x_1^{i_{11}} \cdots x_n^{i_{1n}}e^{b_{11}y_1} \cdots e^{b_{1n}y_n}y_1^{j_{11}} \cdots y_n^{j_{1n}}$$

with $i_{1u} > 0$ for $1 \leq u \leq n$, $i_{11}, \cdots, i_{1,u-1} \geq 0$.
We can assume $b_{1u} \neq 0$, because

$$\{e^{ry_u}, l\} \neq 0$$

for some large $r \in N$. Take an element $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}y_1^{h_1} \cdots y_n^{h_n}$ where $k_1, \cdots, k_n, h_1, \cdots, h_n$ are sufficiently large positive integers such that $k_1 >> \cdots >> k_n >> h_1 >> \cdots >> h_n >> 0$ and $a >> b$ means a is a sufficiently larger positive integer than b where $a, b \in Z$. Then

$$\{x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}, l\} \neq 0$$

is the required element in the lemma. □

Lemma 5 *If an ideal I of $\overline{H(n,n)}$ contains $\{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}$ or $\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$, then $I = \overline{H(n,n)}$.*

Proof. Since $\overline{H(n)}$ is a simple Lie algebra [12], we have $\overline{H(n)} \subset I$. For any basis element $l = e^{a_1x_1} \cdots e^{a_nx_n}x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}e^{b_1y_1} \cdots e^{b_ny_n}y_1^{j_1} \cdots y_n^{j_n}$, we need to show $l \in I$; without loss of generality we can assume $a_1 \neq 0$ always. Assuming $i_1 = 0$, we have

$$\{y_1, l\} = \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial l}{\partial y_1} - \frac{\partial l}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial y_1} = -a_1 l \in I.$$

For $l' = e^{a_1x_1} \cdots e^{a_nx_n}x_1 \cdots x_n^{i_n}e^{b_1y_1} \cdots e^{b_ny_n}y_1^{j_1} \cdots y_n^{j_n}$, we have

$$\{y_1, l'\} = \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial l'}{\partial y_1} - \frac{\partial l'}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial y_1} = a_1 l' - x_1^{-1}l'.$$

Thus $l' \in I$.
Inductively we can get $x^n l' \in I$. Thus, we have proved the lemma. □

Theorem 2 *The Lie algebra $\overline{H(n,n)}$ is simple.*

Proof. Let I be a non-zero ideal of $\overline{H(n,n)}$. Then there is a non-zero element $l \in I$ whose polynomial parts are positive by Lemma 4.
We prove the theorem by induction on $h_h(l)$ for an element $l \in I$.
Step 1. If the ideal I has an element l with $h_h(l) = 1$, then I is $\overline{H(n,n)}$.
Proof of Step 1. Assume l is $(0, \cdots, 0)-$homogeneous; then $I = \overline{H(n,n)}$ by the simplicity of $\overline{H(0,n)}$ [12] and Lemma 5.
Assume that l is $(0, \cdots, 0, a_s, a_{s+1}, \cdots, a_n, b_1, \cdots, b_n)-$ homogeneous with $a_s \neq 0$. Then l can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & C(i_{11}, \cdots, i_{1n}, j_{11}, \cdots, j_{1n}) e^{a_s x_s} \cdots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{i_{11}} \cdots x_n^{i_{1n}} e^{b_1 y_1} \cdots e^{b_n y_n} y_1^{j_{11}} \cdots y_n^{j_{1n}} \\ + & \cdots \\ + & C(i_{p1}, \cdots, i_{pn}, j_{p1}, \cdots, j_{pn}) e^{a_s x_1} \cdots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{i_{p1}} \cdots x_n^{i_{pn}} e^{b_1 y_1} \cdots e^{b_n y_n} y_1^{j_{p1}} \cdots y_n^{j_{pn}} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

with appropriate scalars in F and the lexicographic order of (14).
We can assume $j_{1s} \neq 0$, (if not, then

$$\{y_s^r, l\} \neq 0 \tag{17}$$

is the required element where r is a sufficiently large positive integer).
Then $\{e^{-a_s x_s}, l\} \neq 0$ and $\{e^{-a_s x_s}, l\}$ is $(0, \cdots, 0)-$homogeneous or
$(0, \cdots, 0, d_q, d_{q+1}, \cdots, d_n, f_1, \cdots, f_n)$- homogeneous with $q > s$.
Therefore, by using the above procedure (17) we can get an element $l_1 \in I$ which is $(0, \cdots, 0)-$homogeneous. Thus, we proved the theorem by the previous lemma and the simplicity of $\overline{H(0,n)}$ [12].
Step 2. If the ideal $< l >$ has an element l with $h_h(l) = n$ $(n \geq 2)$, then I has an element l' with $h_h(l') = n - 1$.
Proof of Step 2. Let l be the element in I such that $h_h(l) = n$.
If l has a $(0, \cdots, 0)$-homogeneous element and maximal $(0, \cdots, 0, a_r, \cdots, a_n, b_1, \cdots, b_n)$-homogeneous element such that $a_r \neq 0$, then

$$l_1 = \{y_r, \{y_r, \{\cdots, \{y_r, l\} \cdots\} \neq 0,$$

with $(lp(l)+1)$-times Lie brackets, and l_1 has no $(0, \cdots, 0)$-homogeneous element. Then $h_h(l_1) = n - 1$.
If l has no $(0, \cdots, 0)$-homogeneous element, then l has a maximal
$(0, \cdots, 0, c_q, \cdots, c_n, d_1, \cdots, d_n)$-homogeneous element and minimal
$(0, \cdots, 0, a_r, \cdots, a_n, b_1, \cdots, b_n)$-homogeneous element. Then l can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & l \\ = & C(i_{11}, \cdots, i_{1n}, j_{11}, \cdots, j_{1n}) e^{c_q x_q} \cdots e^{c_n x_n} x_1^{i_{11}} \cdots x_n^{i_{1n}} e^{d_1 y_1} \cdots e^{d_n y_n} y_1^{j_{11}} \cdots y_n^{j_{1n}} \\ + & \cdots \\ + & C(i_{u1}, \cdots, i_{un}, j_{u1}, \cdots, j_{un}) e^{c_q x_q} \cdots e^{c_n x_n} x_1^{i_{u1}} \cdots x_n^{i_{un}} e^{d_1 y_1} \cdots e^{d_n y_n} y_1^{j_{u1}} \cdots y_n^{j_{un}} \\ + & \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \quad C(m_{11},\cdots,m_{1n},p_{11},\cdots,p_{1n})e^{a_rx_r}\cdots e^{a_nx_n}x_1^{m_{11}}\cdots x_n^{m_{1n}}e^{b_1y_1}\cdots e^{b_ny_n}y_1^{p_{11}}\cdots y_n^{p_{1n}}\\
&+ \quad \cdots\\
&+ \quad C(m_{q1},\cdots,m_{qn},p_{q1},\cdots,p_{qn})e^{a_rx_r}\cdots e^{a_nx_n}x_1^{m_{q1}}\cdots x_n^{m_{qn}}e^{b_1y_1}\cdots e^{b_ny_n}y_1^{p_{q1}}\cdots y_n^{p_{qn}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with appropriate scalars in F.
We can assume one of $m_{1r},\cdots,m_{qr}$ is non-zero (if not, $\{y_q^k,l\}\neq 0$ is the required element for k a sufficiently large positive integer.)
Then

$$\{e^{-a_rx_r},l\}\neq 0.$$

$h_h(l_1)\leq n$.
If $h_h(l_1)\leq n-1$, then we have proved this step by induction. If $h_h(l_1)=n$, then we apply the above procedure (17) and get an element $l_2\in I$ which has a $(0,\cdots,0)-$homogeneous element. Therefore, we can get an element l_3 without a $(0,\cdots,0)-$homogeneous element. We have $h_h(l_3)=n-1$. □

The F-algebra $F[e^{x_1},\cdots,e^{x_n},e^{y_1},\cdots,e^{y_n}]$ has algebra basis

$$\{e^{a_1x_1}\cdots e^{a_nx_n}e^{b_1y_1}\cdots e^{b_ny_n}|a_1,\cdots,a_n,b_1,\cdots,b_n\in Z\}.$$

Thus we can consider this algebra to be the sub-algebra $\overline{H(n,0)}$ of $\overline{H(n,n)}$.

Theorem 3 *The Lie algebra $\overline{H(n,0)}$ is a simple Lie algebra.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2. □

Proposition 2 *The Lie algebra $\overline{H(n,0)}$ is not isomorphic to $\overline{H(0,n)}=\overline{H(n)}$.*

Proof. This is clear from the fact that $\overline{H(0,n)}$ has an ad-diagonal element, but $\overline{H(n,0)}$ has no ad-diagonal elements. □

The following method is very useful for finding all the derivations with ad-diagonal elements.

Proposition 3 *All the derivations of the Poisson Lie algebra $H(n)$ are sums of inner derivations and scalar derivations.*

Proof. Let D be any derivation of $H(n)$. Then we have following forms:

$$D(x_1y_1+\cdots+x_ny_n)=\sum\alpha_{i_1,\cdots,i_n}x_1^{i_1}\cdots x_n^{i_n}. \tag{19}$$

By adding $\beta ad_{x_1^{i_1}\cdots x_n^{i_n}}$ to (19) with an appropriate scalar β, we can remove the right hand side of (19). We need only to check the case

$$D(x_1y_1+\cdots+x_ny_n)=\alpha_{1,1,\cdots,n,n}(x_1y_1\cdots x_ny_n),$$

where $\alpha_{1,1,\cdots,n,n}\in F$. But an easy calculation shows that $\alpha_{1,1,\cdots,n,n}=2-2n$. Actually this comes from the scalar derivation S such that

$$S(x_1^{a_1}y_1^{b_1}\cdots x_n^{a_n}y_n^{b_n})=(2-\sum_{i=1}a_i-\sum_{i=1}b_i)x_1^{a_1}y_1^{b_1}\cdots x_n^{a_n}y_n^{b_n},$$

for any $x_1^{a_1}y_1^{b_1}\cdots x_n^{a_n}y_n^{b_n} \in H(n)$. This shows that the derivations of $H(n)$ are sums of inner derivations and scalar derivations. $\Box$

Note that the Lie algebra $H(0,1)$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra V considered in [9].

Consider the F-algebra [6]

$$F_{n,n,i^*} := F[e^{x_1^{i_{11}}},\cdots,e^{x_1^{i_{1m}}},x_1,x_1^{-1},\cdots,e^{x_n^{i_{n1}}},\cdots,e^{x_n^{i_{ns}}},x_n,x_n^{-1},$$

$$e^{y_1^{i_{11}}},\cdots,e^{y_1^{i_{1m}}},y_1,y_1^{-1},\cdots,e^{y_n^{i_{n1}}},\cdots,e^{y_n^{i_{ns}}},y_n,y_n^{-1}]$$

like the F-algebra $F_{n,n}$ (3), where $i_{11} < \cdots < i_{nm} \in N - \{0\}$ are fixed non-negative integers. Then we get the generalized Poisson Lie algebra $H(n,n,i_*)$. If we remove the one-dimensional center, then we get the Lie algebra $\overline{H(n,n,i_*)} = H(n,n,i_*)/F$.

Theorem 4 *The Lie algebra $\overline{H(n,n,i_*)}$ is a simple Lie algebra [11].*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2. $\Box$
It is very easy to see that $\overline{H(n,n)}$ has no ad-diagonal element by using the gradation of $\overline{H(n,n)}$.

References

[1] A. S. Dzhumadil'daev, *Central Extensions of Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Func. Anal., vol. 26, **4**, 1992, 21-29.

[2] D. Z. Dokovic and K. Zhao, *Derivations, isomorphisms, and second cohomology of generalized Witt algebras*, Trans. AMS, vol. 350, 1998, 2-7.

[3] D. B. Fuks, *Cohomology of Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1986, 2-7.

[4] J. E. Humphreys, *Intro. to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory* , Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987, 7-21.

[5] T. Ikeda and N. Kawamoto, *On the derivations of generalized Witt algebras*, Hiroshima Math. J., **20**, 1990, 47-55.

[6] V. G. Kac, *Description of Filtered Lie Algebra with which Graded Lie algebras of Cartan type are Associated*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. Tom, **38**, 1974, 832-834.

[7] N. Kawamoto, *Generalizations of Witt algebras over a field of characteristic zero*, Hiroshima Math. J.,**16**, 1986, 417-426.

[8] N. Kawamoto, *On G-Graded Automorphisms of generalized Witt algebras* , Contem. Math. A.M.S., **184**, 1995, 225-230.

[9] E. Kirkman and C. Procesi and L. Small, *A q-Analog for the Virasoro Algebra* , Comm. in Alg. ,**22**, 1994, 3755-3763.

[10] A. I. Kostrikin and I. R. Safarevic, *Graded Lie algebras of finite characteristic* , Math. USSR Izv., **3**, No. 2, 1970, 237-240.

[11] Ki-Bong Nam, *Generalized Witt Algebras over A Field of Characteristic Zero*, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Thesis, 1997, 5-40.

[12] M. J. Osborn and K. Zhao, *Generalized Poisson bracket and H type Lie algebra*, Preprint, 1996, 2-7.

[13] A. N. Rudakov *Groups of Automorphisms of Infinite-Dimensional Simple Lie Algebras*, Math. USSR-Izvestija, **3**, 1969, 836-837.